


## Q2 Is your state considering accepting registrant sponsored and provided dicamba or auxin specific training to meet the training requirement?

Answered: 31 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	77.42%	24
No	12.90%	4
Unknown	9.68%	3
TOTAL		31

### Q3 What would be the conditions that you would require in order to accept registrant sponsored and delivered training?

Answered: 31 Skipped: 0


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	We do not have any conditions for acceptance, however, would prefer EPA review and approval of registrant sponsored and delivered training.	11/20/2017 9:49 AM
2	Trainings must be registered with NDSU BEFORE event. Event assigned a training number for tracking. Trainings must cover all three product labels, cover additional state concerns, attendance must be properly tracked and report to NDSU.	11/18/2017 11:05 AM
3	None	11/17/2017 8:52 AM
4	The Board would need to review the training and approve it	11/16/2017 5:16 PM
5	AGENDA APPROVED MIRRORING CEU REQUIREMENT PRESENTATIONS, WILL ALLOW FOR TRAINING TO BE USED AS CEU FOR LICENSED APPLICATORS (LAWS & REGS)	11/16/2017 3:39 PM
6	We would need to be convinced that registrant sponsored training met or exceeded that training developed by our land grant university. The ability to track participants would be required.	11/16/2017 3:21 PM
7	Knowledge of content, and training locations.	11/7/2017 8:33 PM
8	unknown at this time	11/6/2017 4:30 PM
9	Registrant to provide a summary of proposed training with listing of topics, anticipated length of training, list of proposed trainers	11/3/2017 3:57 PM
10	Be approved by Dr. Alan York at NCSU and include the presentation that he has developed.	11/3/2017 2:43 PM
11	IDALS is working with Iowa State University, and others involved in pesticide safety education within the Midwest region to outline conditions, format and implementation of label-specific training. We continue to ask for guidance on EPA's conditions for this label training requirement.	11/3/2017 2:17 PM
12	Registrants must submit course for Department's Recertification course approval process	11/2/2017 1:49 PM
13	Verifiable document (certificate) or notification from registrant of applicators completion of training.	11/2/2017 8:30 AM
14	Unknown	11/1/2017 1:06 PM
15	Certification Forms, issued to applicator and copy kept by registrant (and proposed to be kept on file by Dealer Business) and available upon request by NJDEP inspectors.	10/31/2017 2:37 PM
16	We would want to see the training document before we would accept the registrant sponsored and delivered training.	10/31/2017 12:54 PM
17	None	10/31/2017 11:57 AM
18	Registrant training include state specific information.	10/31/2017 11:36 AM
19	Some form of documentation confirming that the training had been completed in the applicator's records.	10/31/2017 11:28 AM
20	Training should be conducted by a person with direct technical knowledge of the product(s). Avoid training being conducted by a general knowledge sales representative. Registrant would need to submit contents of training for approval. There needs to be some kind of completion tracking system in place.	10/31/2017 10:52 AM
21	We have some way of verifying that the training occurred for each individual.	10/31/2017 9:52 AM
22	Comprehensive training similar to the training given by NC, GA, and AL this year.	10/31/2017 9:40 AM
23	none, we have our own training.	10/31/2017 9:30 AM
24	A minimum of a 4 hour training course taught by the manufactures covering nozzles, temp, wind, volatility of the products, studies on inversions, pre vs post applications. Must take an exam after the class showing the applicator understands how to use the product	10/31/2017 8:14 AM

## AAPCO State Dicamba Survey - Training and Damage

25	Must meet a training criteria that meets label and EPA requirements.	10/31/2017 8:03 AM
26	LDAF has 24c's requiring any person: selling, applying and purchasing these products must complete a training course by the manufacturer, approved by LDAF. A list of all persons completing the training course must be sent to LDAF.	10/31/2017 8:01 AM
27	1. Have ample time to preview & require edits or revisions. 2. Require face to face training. 3. Have adequate advance notice of the training sessions to allow for SLA monitoring. 4. Have ready access to training attendee records (more so than what was done with the soil fumigants). more	10/31/2017 8:00 AM
28	We would require specific language in the training regarding label compliance. Each training may also be audited by KDA personnel to ensure that the appropriate material is covered.	10/31/2017 7:43 AM
29	Content of the training Covering state specific issues such as weather, topography, sensitive crops In-Person	10/30/2017 4:16 PM
30	NM has not made any definitive decisions, but we are leaning towards in-person training provided by registrant and facilitated by NMDA.	10/30/2017 4:10 PM
31	Review of training and inclusion of state-specific module for state requirements of RUP sales and recordkeeping.	10/30/2017 3:52 PM

### Q4 If your state would accept registrant dicamba or auxin specific training, would you accept in-person training, on-line training, both, or no preference?


Answered: 31 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person training only.	12.90%	4
On-line training only.	0.00%	0
Both in-person or on-line training (no preference)	35.48%	11
In-person training preferred.	41.94%	13
On-line training preferred.	6.45%	2
We will not accept registrant sponsored or delivered training.	3.23%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>

## Q5 Is your state considering developing your own State specific dicamba or auxin training for 2018?


Answered: 30 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	30.00%	9
No	60.00%	18
Unknown	10.00%	3
TOTAL		30

**Q6 If your state sponsored and provided training last year, does your state plan to continue to offer state provided auxin or dicamba-specific training in 2018 to assist applicators in meeting the training requirement?**

Answered: 31 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	22.58%	7
No	0.00%	0
We did not sponsor or provide training last year.	77.42%	24
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>

## Q7 Does the State Lead Agency, Extension System or another entity provide your training?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Virginia Cooperative Extension	11/20/2017 9:49 AM
2	Our standard private and commercial pesticide trainings are delivered by the NDSU Extension Service. The NDDA participates at these events.	11/18/2017 11:05 AM
3	U of A extension	11/16/2017 5:16 PM
4	EXTENSION SYSTEM	11/16/2017 3:39 PM
5	SLA in cooperation with Extension and industry	11/16/2017 3:21 PM
6	Both the SLA and PSEP provide training. However, neither has provided dicamba specific training.	11/7/2017 8:33 PM
7	n/a	11/6/2017 4:30 PM
8	Extension System currently provides general pesticide safety-related training and is considering special dicamba sessions for 2018 either taught by registrants or extension representatives at the end of our regularly scheduled training clinics	11/3/2017 3:57 PM
9	NCSU Cooperative Extension Weed Specialist	11/3/2017 2:43 PM
10	Extension as well as approved third party providers	11/3/2017 2:17 PM
11	No	11/2/2017 1:49 PM
12	N/A	11/2/2017 8:30 AM
13	Not for dicamba-specific training.	11/1/2017 1:06 PM
14	no	10/31/2017 2:37 PM
15	N/A	10/31/2017 12:54 PM
16	Extension and Industry for all normal recertification training	10/31/2017 11:57 AM
17	Extension Service	10/31/2017 11:36 AM
18	CSU Extension or other workshop providers may provide training during annual CEC courses. These would be submitted and approved by the state, same as other CEC sessions.	10/31/2017 11:28 AM
19	Not applicable if referring to question 6. Cooperative Extension conducts most of the agriculturally based training in Delaware.	10/31/2017 10:52 AM
20	We all provide training, including the private sector.	10/31/2017 9:52 AM
21	Cooperative Extension, and Registrant training provided for certain applicator groups now.	10/31/2017 9:40 AM
22	Extension Service	10/31/2017 9:30 AM
23	SD Department of Ag or extension do not or id not provide dicamba or auxin training. Prior to the 2017 spray season Monsanto and BASF put on several meetings on how to use these products and reviewed the label in depth. There were several large ag retail locations who also did a great job of training private applicators on the use of the these products with a manufacturing rep assisting with the training of the use of the products. Training and more training will not keep the products from volatizing.	10/31/2017 8:14 AM
24	Extension System	10/31/2017 8:03 AM
25	SLA	10/31/2017 8:01 AM
26	SLA, CES, and some industry members can all be trainers and program sponsors.	10/31/2017 8:00 AM
27	We did not provide training in 2017 and will most likely approve registrant sponsored training in 2018.	10/31/2017 7:43 AM

## AAPCO State Dicamba Survey - Training and Damage

28	Extension	10/30/2017 4:16 PM
29	No training for Dicamba.	10/30/2017 4:10 PM
30	Both	10/30/2017 3:52 PM




## Q9 Approximately how long does the training take from start to finish in hours?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	NA	11/20/2017 9:49 AM
2	Auxin trainings will run 90 minutes to two hours.	11/18/2017 11:05 AM
3	NA	11/17/2017 8:52 AM
4	Online training is approximately 1 hr	11/16/2017 5:16 PM
5	NO TRAINING APPROVE YET BUT AT LEAST 1 HOUR WILL BE REQUIRED, TRAINING PRESENTATION IS IN THE PLANNING STAGES AT THE MOMENT	11/16/2017 3:39 PM
6	2 hours	11/16/2017 3:21 PM
7	We have not conducted any.	11/7/2017 8:33 PM
8	n/a	11/6/2017 4:30 PM
9	Time period varies depending on topic (ex., 4+hrs. general standards or core training and 4+hours category training); Extension is considering possibly providing 1.5 hour special dicamba-related training for 2018 to be taught by either Extension or registrants at the conclusion of our regularly scheduled clinics	11/3/2017 3:57 PM
10	Last year 1 hour 45 minutes. May be shortened to 1.5 hours for 2018.	11/3/2017 2:43 PM
11	Unknown	11/3/2017 2:17 PM
12	N/A	11/2/2017 1:49 PM
13	N/A	11/2/2017 8:30 AM
14	Unknown.	11/1/2017 1:06 PM
15	na	10/31/2017 2:37 PM
16	N/A	10/31/2017 12:54 PM
17	n/a	10/31/2017 11:57 AM
18	Don't know at present, but 1 CEU would be awarded per hour of training.	10/31/2017 11:36 AM
19	N/A. There has not been any dicamba or auxin specific training submitted to date.	10/31/2017 10:52 AM
20	We currently have not had any. But our law allows 1 CEU is 50 minutes.	10/31/2017 9:52 AM
21	Not currently giving training in SC	10/31/2017 9:40 AM
22	2.5 hours	10/31/2017 9:30 AM
23	N/A but we think it should be 3-4 hours	10/31/2017 8:14 AM
24	Approximately 3 hours	10/31/2017 8:01 AM
25	Unknown, it is still under development, but guessing at least an hour.	10/31/2017 8:00 AM
26	We have not conducted any to date, so not sure. Anticipating 3 to 4 hours.	10/31/2017 7:43 AM
27	3.5	10/30/2017 4:16 PM
28	NA	10/30/2017 4:10 PM
29	1	10/30/2017 3:52 PM

### Q10 Dicamba or auxin specific training is required yearly. Does your state have the resources (financial and personnel) to continue to provide this training?


Answered: 31 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	12.90%	4
No	61.29%	19
Unknown	25.81%	8
TOTAL		31

## Q11 Are there conditions that could be met that would change your mind from requiring state sponsored and delivered training to allowing registrant sponsored and delivered training?

Answered: 31 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes (If yes, please provide additional information below).	29.03%	9
No. Registrant sponsored and delivered training will not be accepted.	9.68%	3
Not applicable. State sponsored and delivered training is not required.	61.29%	19
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>

#	THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE MET TO ACCEPT REGISTRANT SPONSORED AND DELIVERED TRAINING.	DATE
1	Would need to review the manufacturers training course	11/16/2017 5:16 PM
2	Program would need to be very similar to topics covered in NCSU's presentation.	11/3/2017 2:43 PM
3	At this time, the answer is not applicable. Iowa is still working with university specialists, educators, stakeholders, co-regulators and registrants to determine how this label training can be made available in 2018.	11/3/2017 2:17 PM
4	Review and approval of training by WVDA.	11/2/2017 8:30 AM
5	Would need to review the registrant training, if registrant training was provided only.	10/31/2017 11:36 AM
6	Prefer registrant conduct training. Cost associated with training should be incurred by the company producing the product that is having issues.	10/31/2017 10:52 AM
7	Yes, Registrant provided training will be allowed in SC	10/31/2017 9:40 AM
8	SD believes the manufactures/registrants should be the trainers. Every article I read the applicators were to blame on the misuse of the products. The registrants train, then it their issue not the states if we have issues in 2018.	10/31/2017 8:14 AM
9	If registrants would have required mandatory training/stewardship as stated in the early phases of the registration process. All manufacturers stressed stewardship, Dow was the only manufacturer to provide mandatory stewardship training for their product.	10/31/2017 8:01 AM
10	We might consider registrant sponsored training in subsequent years after the applicator has been through state sponsored training at least once.	10/31/2017 8:00 AM

## AAPCO State Dicamba Survey - Training and Damage

---

11	Financial resources for the development and delivery of the training would be the primary deciding factor.	10/31/2017 7:43 AM
12	Appropriate content, length, messaging.	10/30/2017 4:16 PM
13	Registrants will have to use the state-developed training in addition to their own as a condition of registration.	10/30/2017 3:52 PM

---

**Q12 It is important to understand the impacts of damage beyond soybeans and cotton. Can your state quantify damage to other crops/plants in 2017? (e.g., tomatoes, watermelon, cantaloupe, vineyards, pumpkins, organic vegetables, tobacco, residential gardens, trees and shrubs, etc.) Other crops/plants?**

Answered: 31 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	While we have not received any reports of damage in our office, according to Extension, there are ~1500 acres that are damaged. The specific crops/plants are unknown.	11/20/2017 9:49 AM
2	ND had minimal reports of issues with crops/plants that had dicamba problems. 99% was non-DT soybeans	11/18/2017 11:05 AM
3	Tomatoes and grapes. Probably more, but we're just not aware of it.	11/17/2017 8:52 AM
4	Not economic or yield damage	11/16/2017 5:16 PM
5	NO	11/16/2017 3:39 PM
6	yes, zero reported damage to other crops	11/16/2017 3:21 PM
7	We have few acres of soybeans, and did not have over-the-top applications of dicamba. We are a high-value minor crops state, and many of our crops are highly sensitive to auxin herbicides. If thousands of acres were sprayed in July, it is possible that many Oregon crops would have incurred very costly and unacceptable damage.	11/7/2017 8:33 PM
8	No reports thus far.	11/6/2017 4:30 PM
9	We are still in the process of completing misuse complaint investigations from the 2017 growing season and do not have complete data at this time	11/3/2017 3:57 PM
10	Tobacco is a significant concern in NC, but we do not have data regarding damaged acreage or crop loss. Some tobacco companies refused to buy grower's crop if there was damage - some growers lost their entire crop.	11/3/2017 2:43 PM
11	IDALS enforcement program does not directly quantify damage as part of our pesticide enforcement response. However, off-target sites will be documented and can be communicated in reporting.	11/3/2017 2:17 PM
12	None known at this time	11/2/2017 1:49 PM
13	No.	11/2/2017 8:30 AM
14	No.	11/1/2017 1:06 PM
15	none known in New Jersey	10/31/2017 2:37 PM
16	Yes	10/31/2017 12:54 PM
17	No	10/31/2017 11:57 AM
18	Not at the present time.	10/31/2017 11:36 AM
19	No. Luckily, Colorado had no complaints related to the use of dicamba in 2017.	10/31/2017 11:28 AM
20	Delaware had no reported damage in 2017. Very concerned due to the amount of vegetable production in the state.	10/31/2017 10:52 AM
21	Cotton is not as sensitive as soybean. However, this issue is of great concern. It is also of concern that they have to get this credit yearly and depending on the length growers could end up only taking CEUs relating to this chemistry.	10/31/2017 9:52 AM
22	No damage was reported or observed by DPR except three cases in which soybeans exhibited herbicide damage.	10/31/2017 9:40 AM

## AAPCO State Dicamba Survey - Training and Damage

23	yes	10/31/2017 9:30 AM
24	yes, SD had several issues with the products moving off target to other plants (trees, tomatoes, etc)	10/31/2017 8:14 AM
25	Wisconsin had no instances of dicamba (new technology) related damage to non-soybean crops.	10/31/2017 8:03 AM
26	Louisiana had 2 official complaints on Soybeans totaling approximately 40 acres.	10/31/2017 8:01 AM
27	No. It is still to early in the investigation processing. The six cases processed to date have all been related to soybean exposure.	10/31/2017 8:00 AM
28	We had minimal damage to crops other than soybeans.	10/31/2017 7:43 AM
29	Muscadines	10/30/2017 4:16 PM
30	No damage	10/30/2017 4:10 PM
31	Quantify? - No. We only collected anecdotal information on the acres or amounts of other plants that were damaged.	10/30/2017 3:52 PM